

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,520	10/24/2003	Alex Long	4006-271	9118	
22429	7590 05/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			MARCHESCHI, MICHAEL A		
1700 DIAGO SUITE 300 /3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		1755		
•			DATE MAILED: 05/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/Λ	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,520	LONG, ALEX	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael A. Marcheschi	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply signified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replace. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  AS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	——. This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, <b>4</b> 53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	į
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b)  objected to b	/ the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re	olication No	
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a		areived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	cceiveu.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB</li> </ul>		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/691,520

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-64, drawn to a method of making an abrasive article, classified in class
 156, subclass 247.

II. Claims 65-71, drawn to abrasive article, classified in class 51, subclass 307. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by a by merely adhering the abrasive to a substrate (i.e. a method that does **not** involve removing the substrate or base layer).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Benjamin J. Hauptman on 4/13/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1755

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Marcheschi whose telephone number is (571) 272-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions or access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-947 (toll-free).

MM 4/05 Michael A Marcheschi Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755